

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/595,070		MORI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	YURIY SEMENENKO		2841	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) YURIY SEMENENKO.

(2) ANTHONY CANALE  
(Reg. No. 51526).

**Date of Interview:** 27 July 2009

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**  
 Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
*claim 1*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**  
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Y. S./  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2841

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner notes that in lines 14-15 of the claim 1 the limitations "said land conducting layer" needs to be changed to - said land conductor layer- for constituency with line 3 for the claim 1.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given by applicant's representative